

Measurements of charmless B decays related to α at BABAR

Vincenzo LOMBARDO* (for the BABAR Collaboration)

INFN Milano (Italy)

E-mail: `vincenzo.lombardo@mi.infn.it`

BABAR-PROC-09/082, SLAC-PUB-13797

We report recent measurements of the CKM angle α using data collected by the BABAR detector at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy e^+e^- collider at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. In addition to improved constraints on α from the decays $B^\pm \rightarrow \rho^\pm \rho^0$, we also present preliminary results of neutral and charged B meson decays to $K_1(1270)\pi$ and $K_1(1400)\pi$ and its impact on the estimate for the CKM angle α based on time-dependent analysis of CP-violating asymmetries in $B^0 \rightarrow a_1(1260)^\pm \pi^\mp$. Moreover we report the first observation of the decay $B \rightarrow a_1(1260)^\pm a_1(1260)^\mp$; this mode can be used, in principle, to provide an independent measurement of α .

*European Physical Society Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics
July 16-22, 2009
Krakow, Poland*

*Speaker.

1. Introduction

The measurements of the angles α , β and γ of the Unitarity Triangle (UT) at the B-factories are providing precision tests of the description of CP violation in the Standard Model (SM). This description is provided by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix [1, 2]. I am summarizing here recent experimental results on the Unitarity Triangle angle α obtained from B-meson decays with the BABAR experiment at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. The BABAR detector and PEP-II accelerator are described elsewhere [3]. The decays of neutral B mesons to the final states $h^+h'^-$, where $h^+, h'^- = \pi, \rho, a_1$ are sensitive to the CKM angle α in the interference between decay and mixing [4]. The presence of gluonic loop (“penguin”) contributions with a different weak phase to the tree contribution shifts the measured angle from the UT angle α to an effective parameter α_{eff} , where the shift is defined as $\delta\alpha = \alpha - \alpha_{eff}$. Either isospin symmetry [5, 6] or broken SU(3) flavor symmetry [7] can be employed to disentangle α from α_{eff} .

2. Measurement of B decays to $\rho^\pm\rho^0$

One of the most favorable methods to determine α is through an isospin analysis of the $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ system [8, 9]. Here we present updated BaBar results for $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ channel, with $\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ and $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, leading to an improved determination of α [10]. The analysis is based on $(465 \pm 5) \times 10^6 B\bar{B}$ events collected on the $Y(4S)$ resonance. Compared to the previous study [11], the analysis incorporates higher signal efficiency and background rejection, twice as much as data, and an improved procedures to reconstruct charged particles and to account for correlations in the backgrounds. The measured branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0) = (23.6 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$ is larger than Ref. [11], primarily because of the improved method used here to account for correlations in the backgrounds. The measured longitudinal polarization fraction is $f_L = 0.950 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.006$. An isospin analysis of $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ has been performed by minimizing a χ^2 that included the measured quantities expressed as the lengths of the sides of the B and \bar{B} isospin triangles [5]. The $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ branching fraction and f_L results presented here have been used, with the branching fractions, polarizations and CP-violating parameters in $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ [12] and $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$ decays reported in Ref. [13]. We assume the uncertainties to be Gaussian-distributed and neglect potential isospin $I = 1$ and electroweak-loop amplitudes which are expected to be small. The CKM phase angle α and its correction $\Delta\alpha$ are found to be $\alpha = (92.4^{+6.0}_{-6.5})^\circ$ and $1.8^\circ < \Delta\alpha < 6.7^\circ$, respectively at 68% C.L., significant improvement compared to $\alpha = (82.6^{+32.6}_{-6.3})^\circ$ and $|\Delta\alpha| < 15.7^\circ$ obtained with the same $\rho^+\rho^-$ and $\rho^0\rho^0$ measurements but the previous $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ results. The improvement is primarily due to the increase in $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0)$ compared to our previous result. $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0)$ determines the length of the common base of isospin triangles for B and \bar{B} decays. The increase in the base length flattens both triangles, making the four possible solutions nearly degenerate.

3. Measurement of B decays to $K_1(1270)\pi$ and $K_1(1400)\pi$

BaBar has recently reported the measurement of branching fractions of neutral and charged B meson decays to $K_1(1270)\pi$ and $K_1(1400)\pi$, obtained from a data sample of 454 million $Y(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$ events [14]. The signal is modeled with a K -matrix formalism, which accounts for the effects of

interference between the $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$ mesons. Including systematic and model uncertainties, BaBar measures $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K_1(1270)^+ \pi^- + K_1(1400)^+ \pi^-) = 3.1^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K_1(1270)^0 \pi^+ + K_1(1400)^0 \pi^+) = 2.9^{+2.9}_{-1.7} \times 10^{-5}$ ($< 8.2 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% probability). A combined signal for the decays $B^0 \rightarrow K_1(1270)^+ \pi^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K_1(1400)^+ \pi^-$ is observed with a significance of 7.5σ , and the following branching fractions are derived for neutral B meson decays: $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K_1(1270)^+ \pi^-) \in [0.6, 2.5] \times 10^{-5}$, $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K_1(1400)^+ \pi^-) \in [0.8, 2.4] \times 10^{-5}$, and $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K_{1A}^+ \pi^-) \in [0.4, 2.3] \times 10^{-5}$, where the K_{1A} meson is a nearly equal admixture of the $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$ resonances [8] and where the two-sided intervals are evaluated at 68% probability. A significance of 3.2σ is obtained for $B^+ \rightarrow K_1(1270)^0 \pi^+ + K_1(1400)^0 \pi^+$, and the following two-sided intervals at 68% probability and upper limits at 90% probability are derived: $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K_1(1270)^0 \pi^+) \in [0.0, 2.1] \times 10^{-5}$ ($< 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$), $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K_1(1400)^0 \pi^+) \in [0.0, 2.5] \times 10^{-5}$ ($< 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$), and $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K_{1A}^0 \pi^+) \in [0.0, 2.1] \times 10^{-5}$ ($< 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$). Moreover BaBar has combined these branching fractions with existing experimental information to derive an independent estimate for the CKM angle α , based on the time-dependent analysis of CP -violating asymmetries in $B^0 \rightarrow a_1(1260)^\pm \pi^\mp$ [15]. The $\Delta S = 1$ decays presented here are particularly sensitive to the presence of penguin amplitudes because their CKM couplings are larger than the corresponding $\Delta S = 0$ penguin amplitudes. Thus measurements of the decay rates of the $\Delta S = 1$ transitions involving the same SU(3) flavor multiplet as $a_1(1260)$ provide constraints on $\Delta\alpha = \alpha_{\text{eff}} - \alpha$ [16]. Similar SU(3)-based approaches have been proposed for the extraction of α in the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ [17], $\rho^\pm \pi^\mp$ [7], and $\rho^+ \rho^-$ channels [18, 12]. Babar derives bounds on the model uncertainty $|\Delta\alpha|$ on the weak phase α_{eff} extracted in $B^0 \rightarrow a_1(1260)^\pm \pi^\mp$ decays using previously measured branching fractions of $B^0 \rightarrow a_1(1260)^\pm \pi^\mp$, $B^0 \rightarrow a_1(1260)^- K^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow a_1(1260)^+ K^0$ decays [19] and the CP -violation asymmetries [15] as input to the method of Ref. [16] and obtains $|\alpha - \alpha_{\text{eff}}| < 11^\circ (13^\circ)$ at 68% (90%) probability. The determination of α_{eff} presents an eight-fold ambiguity in the range $[0^\circ, 180^\circ]$. The eight solutions are $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (11 \pm 7)^\circ$, $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (41 \pm 7)^\circ$, $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (49 \pm 7)^\circ$, $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (79 \pm 7)^\circ$, $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (101 \pm 7)^\circ$, $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (131 \pm 7)^\circ$, $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (139 \pm 7)^\circ$, $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (169 \pm 7)^\circ$ [15]. Assuming that the relative strong phase between the relevant tree amplitudes is negligible [16] it is possible to reduce this ambiguity to a twofold ambiguity in the range $[0^\circ, 180^\circ]$: $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (11 \pm 7)^\circ$, $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (79 \pm 7)^\circ$. BaBar combines the solution near 90° , $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (79 \pm 7)^\circ$, with the bounds on $|\alpha_{\text{eff}} - \alpha|$ and estimates the weak phase $\alpha = (79 \pm 7 \pm 11)^\circ$. This solution is consistent with the current average value of α , based on the analysis of $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$, $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$, and $B \rightarrow \rho\pi$ decays [8, 9].

4. Measurement of B decays to $a_1(1260)^\pm a_1(1260)^\mp$

Charmless B decays to final states involving two axial-vector mesons (AA) have received considerable theoretical attention in the last few years [20, 21]. Using QCD factorization, the branching fractions of several $B \rightarrow AA$ decay modes have been calculated. Predictions for the branching fraction of the $B^0 \rightarrow a_1(1260)^\pm a_1(1260)^\mp$ [22] decay mode vary between 37.4×10^{-6} [20] and 6.4×10^{-6} [21]. Branching fractions at this level should be observable with the BaBar data sample, which can be used to discriminate between the predictions. The predicted value of the longitudinal polarization fraction f_L is 0.64 [20]. The only available experimental information on this B decay mode is the branching fraction upper limit (UL) of 2.8×10^{-3} at 90% confidence level (CL) mea-

sured by CLEO [23]. The measured value $f_L \sim 0.5$ in penguin-dominated $B \rightarrow \phi K^*$ decays [24] is in contrast with naive SM calculations predicting a dominant longitudinal polarization ($f_L \sim 1$) in B decays to vector-vector (VV) final states. The naive SM expectation is confirmed in the tree-dominated $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ [12, 25, 10] and $B^+ \rightarrow \omega\rho^+$ [26] decays. A value of $f_L \sim 1$ is found in vector-tensor $B \rightarrow \phi K_2^*(1430)$ decays [27], while $f_L \sim 0.5$ is found in $B \rightarrow \omega K_2^*(1430)$ decays [26] (see Ref [28] for further discussion). The small value of f_L observed in $B \rightarrow \phi K^*$ decays has stimulated theoretical effort, such as the introduction of non-factorizable terms and penguin-annihilation amplitudes [29]. Other explanations invoke new physics [30]. Measurement of f_L in $a_1 a_1$ [22] decays will provide additional information. The analysis uses an integrated luminosity of $423.0 fb^{-1}$, corresponding to $(465 \pm 5) \times 10^6 B\bar{B}$ pairs, recorded at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance [31]. BaBar has measured the branching fraction: $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow a_1^+ a_1^-) \times [\mathcal{B}(a_1^+ \rightarrow (3\pi)^+)]^2 = 11.8 \pm 2.6 \pm 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ and the fraction of longitudinal polarization $f_L = 0.31 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.10$. Assuming that $\mathcal{B}(a_1^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ is equal to $\mathcal{B}(a_1^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0)$, and that $\mathcal{B}(a_1^+ \rightarrow (3\pi)^+)$ is equal to 100% [8] the branching fraction is $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow a_1^+ a_1^-) = (47.3 \pm 10.5 \pm 6.3) \times 10^{-6}$. The decay mode is seen with a significance of 5.0σ , which includes systematic uncertainties. The measured branching fraction and longitudinal polarization are in general agreement with the theoretical expectations in [20]. In principle an independent measurement of α of the UT can be extracted from the time-dependent analysis of CP -violating asymmetries of $B^0 \rightarrow a_1(1260)^\pm a_1(1260)^\mp$ but the available statistics is indeed too low to perform such an analysis. Potentially a new generation of Super Flavor Factories [33, 32] will be able to achieve this result.

5. Summary

In summary significant progress in the measurement of α has been made over the last decade by BaBar. In $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ decays recent Babar results have substantially improved the precision of the measurement and at the same time a new measurement of α has been extracted from $B \rightarrow a_1(1260)\pi$ decays. All these results are in good agreement with the predictions obtained by SM-based fits [9].

References

- [1] N. Cabibbo Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 531 (1963); M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).
- [2] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1945 (1983).
- [3] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **479**, 1 (2002).
- [4] For the $a_1(1260)$ meson we use the short notation a_1 .
- [5] M. Gronau and D. London, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3381 (1990); H. J. Lipkin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 1454 (1991); M. Gronau, Phys. Lett. B **265**, 389 (1991).
- [6] Y. Grossman and H. R. Quinn Phys. Rev. D **58**, 017504 (1998); J. Charles, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 054007 (1999); M. Gronau *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **514**, 315 (2001).
- [7] M. Gronau and J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 074031 (2004).
- [8] C. Amsler *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B, **667**, 1 (2008).

- [9] J. Charles *et al.*(CKMfitter Group), Eur. Phys. J. C. **41**, 1 (2005); M. Bona *et al.*(UTfit Collaboration), J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2006) 080.
- [10] B. Aubert *et al.*(BaBar Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 141802 (2009).
- [11] B. Aubert *et al.*(BaBar Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 261801 (2006).
- [12] B. Aubert *et al.*(BaBar Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D **76**, 052007 (2007).
- [13] B. Aubert *et al.*(BaBar Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D **78**, 071104 (2008).
- [14] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, arXiv:0909.2171 [hep-ex]
- [15] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 181803 (2007).
- [16] M. Gronau and J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 057502 (2006);
- [17] M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Lett. B **595**, 339 (2004).
- [18] M. Beneke, M. Gronau, J. Rohrer, and M. Spranger, Phys. Lett. B **638**, 68 (2006).
- [19] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 051802 (2006); Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 051803 (2008).
- [20] H.-Y. Cheng and K.-C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 094001 (2008).
- [21] G. Calderon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 094019 (2007).
- [22] a_1 will be used to indicate the $a_1(1260)$ meson.
- [23] CLEO Collaboration, D. Bortoletto *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2436 (1989).
- [24] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 092008 (2008); Belle Collaboration, K. F. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 201801 (2003).
- [25] Belle Collaboration, A. Somov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 171801 (2006); Belle Collaboration, J. Zhang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 221801 (2003);
- [26] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 052005.
- [27] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 051801 (2007).
- [28] A. V. Gritsan and J. G. Smith, “Polarization in B Decays” review in [8], Phys. Lett. B **667**, 910 (2008).
- [29] A. L. Kagan, Phys. Lett. B **601**, 151 (2004); C. W. Bauer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 054015 (2004); P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, and T. N. Pham, Phys. Lett. B **597**, 291 (2004); M. Ladisa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 114025 (2004); H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014030 (2005); H. N. Li and S. Mishima, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 054025 (2005); C. H. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054011 (2005); M. Beneke *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **774**, 64 (2007).
- [30] A. K. Giri and R. Mohanta, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 014008 (2004); E. Alvarez *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 115014 (2004); P. K. Das and K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 094002 (2005); C. H. Chen and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 115004 (2005); Y. D. Yang, R. M. Wang, and G. R. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 015009 (2005); C. S. Hunger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 034026 (2006); C. H. Chen and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 054010 (2007).
- [31] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, arXiv:0907.1776 [hep-ex]
- [32] M. Bona *et al.*, arXiv:0709.0451 [hep-ex]
- [33] S. Hashimoto *et al.*, KEK-REPORT-2004-4